Consolidating the ISO Usability Models

Alain Abran[1], Adel Khelifi[1], Witold Suryn[1], Ahmed Seffah[2]

[1] École de Technologie Supérieure, Montréal, Canada : aabran@ele.etsmtl.ca, adel@khelifi.com, wsuryn@ele.etsmtl.ca.

[2] Concordia University, Montreal, Canada: seffah@cs.concordia.ca.

ABSTRACT

In recent years, the usability of software has become a major research theme within the software engineering community. However, only a few software quality models have been designed to address usability aspects in a detailed and structured way. In particular, the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has developed a variety of models to specify and measure software usability. However, these individual models do not support all usability aspects; furthermore, they are not well integrated into current software engineering practices and they lack tool support. The aim of this research is to address some of these limitations by proposing a consolidated and normative model for the evaluation of software usability.

1. INTRODUCTION

"American industry and government will become even more productive if they take advantage of usability engineering techniques." [1]. Within the last couple of years, a major shift has occurred in the development, design and deployment of software applications. With the considerable growth of distributed applications, it is to be noted that, especially for the Internet, the developers, technical staff and training instructors no longer have direct access to the end-user of their software systems. Software usability is no longer a luxury, but rather a basic determinant of productivity and of the acceptance of software applications. However, without specific knowledge about the end-user of the software systems, taking into account and implementing the usability and learnability of a software system becomes a major quality challenge in designing these systems.

The aim of this exploratory research is to improve software users' productivity through ensuring a greater understanding of software and through improved evaluation of how easy the software is to use, or usability.

1

This paper presents a survey and an evaluation of some of the ISO usability standards and related research in this area. We present an analysis of ISO software usability evaluation models, such as ISO 9241-11 and 9126, and a proposal for their integration into a consolidated model, which can be used as input to the next ISO review of these normative models.

In section 2 of this paper, we present a survey of usability definitions. In section 3, usability in ISO/IEC 9126 and ISO 9241 standards is discussed, highlighting both their strengths and their weaknesses. In section 4, improvements are proposed, and these are incorporated in a consolidated model. Finally, further recommendations for improving the normative models are presented in section 5.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1   Usability definitions

The term "usability" refers to a set of multiple concepts, such as execution time, performance, user satisfaction and ease of learning ("learnability"), taken together. But usability has not been defined homogeneously, either by the researchers or by the standardization bodies. Table 1 illustrates how the term has been defined differently in three distinct standards.

Table 1: Usability definitions in Standards

USABILITY DEFINITIONS
➢ "The capability of the software product to be understood, learned, used and attractive to the user, when used under specified conditions." (ISO/IEC 9126-1, 2000)
➢ "The extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction in a specified context of use." (ISO9241-11, 1998)
➢ "The ease with which a user can learn to operate, prepare inputs for, and interpret outputs of a system or component." (IEEE Std.610.12-1990)

A challenge with definitions of usability is that it is very difficult to specify what its characteristics and its attributes should be, in particular because the nature of the characteristics and required attributes depend on the context in which the product is used.

Standards related to usability can be classified in the following categories (Fig. 1):

1. Product effect (output, effectiveness and satisfaction at the time of use of the product);
2. Product attributes (interface and interaction);
3. Process used to develop the product;
4. Organization's Capability.

Organizational Capability	Process quality	Product quality	Quality in use
Life cycle Process	Development Process	Product	Product Effect

Capability of use   **User Centered Process**   **Interface and Interaction**   **Usability in context**
ISOTR 18529   **ISO 13407**   **ISO 9241 parts 10, 12-17**   **ISO 9241-11**
   ISO/IEC 9126-3   **ISO 14598-1**
   ISO/IEC 9126-1,4

Figure 1: Categories of ISO usability standards

The ISO has developed different standards on usability, and two major categories can be distinguished:

1. Product-oriented standards (ISO 9126 and ISO 14598)
2. Process-oriented standards (ISO 9241 and ISO 13407)

It should be noted, too, that the properties that software usability takes on might vary, depending on the target audiences of the software system.

A candidate audience for a software system might include end-users, managers and software developers. For each of these audiences, usability is defined from a different viewpoint:

1. For the end-user, software usability is essential because it is a determinant of performance: an application, which features good usability, will allow the user to perform the expected task faster and more efficiently.
2. For managers, usability is a major decision point in selecting a product, as this decision will have a direct influence on the learnability of the chosen system, and hence on the productivity of those who use it.

3. For software developers, usability describes the internal attributes of a system, including issues like design quality, documentation maintainability.

This diversity of viewpoints, and their related usability requirements, have led to different perspectives on usability in the various ISO models that have been developed over the years by different groups of usability experts. Unfortunately, each group of experts built its model without input from the other groups. This led to the use of different terms and labels for the same usability characteristics, or different terms for similar characteristics, without full consistency across these standards; the situation in the literature in general is similar. For example, learnability is defined in ISO 9241-11 as a simple attribute, "time of learning", whereas ISO 9126 defines it as including several attributes such as "comprehensible input and output, instructions readiness, messages readiness …".

This illustrates that further work is required to bring convergence and a consensus on a more comprehensive view of usability, which would integrate all viewpoints into a unified model able to withstand the sophistication of the applications built for the user communities.

This paper focuses mainly on an analysis of software usability according to two ISO standards, ISO 9241 and ISO 9126. The strengths and weaknesses identified will then serve as a basis for the design of a consolidated and improved model of usability.

3. USABILITY IN ISO STANDARDS

3.1 ISO 9126

The 2002 suite of ISO 9126 (Parts 1 to 4) addresses software quality from the product perspective. Even though it is not exhaustive, this suite constitutes the most extensive software quality model developed to date. The approach of its quality model, initially published in 1991 and refined over the next ten years by ISO's group of software engineering experts, is to represent quality as a whole set of characteristics. This international standard divides software quality into six general categories of characteristics: functionalities, reliability, usability, effectiveness, maintainability and portability (Figure 2).

External and internal Quality

| Functiona-lity	Reliability	Usability	Efficiency	Maintain-ability	Portability
suitability	maturity	understand a-bility	time behaviour	analysabi-lity	adaptabi-lity
accuracy	fault tolerance	learnability		change-ability	installabi-lity
interoper-ability	recoverabi-lity	operability	resource utilisation	stability	co-existence
security		attractive-ness		testability	replaceabi-lity
reliability compliance	efficiency	maintain-ability			
functiona-lity compliance	reliability compliance	usability compliance	compliance	compliance	portability compliance

Figure 2: Software Quality – ISO 9126

The objective of this suite of standards is to provide a framework for the evaluation of software quality. ISO/IEC 9126 does not prescribe specific quality requirements for software, but rather defines a quality model, which can be applied to every kind of software. This ISO standard includes the user's view and introduces the concept of quality in use.

The 2000-2002 edition of ISO/IEC 9126 is divided into four parts:

1. ISO/IEC 9126-1: Software Engineering -- Product quality -- Part 1: Quality model (2000).

This standard specifies two distinct structures of models for software quality:

a) Internal and external quality is modeled with the same set of six characteristics: functionality, reliability, effectiveness, usability, maintainability and portability.

b) Quality in use characteristics are modeled with four other characteristics: effectiveness, productivity, security and satisfaction.

2. ISO/IEC 9126-2: Software Engineering -- Product quality -- Part 2: External metrics (the term "metrics" used in ISO/IEC 9126 will be replaced by "measure" in future releases; therefore the term "measure" has been adopted in this paper).

This part describes the measures that can be used to specify or evaluate the behaviour of the software when operated by the user.

3. ISO/IEC 9126-3: Software Engineering -- Product quality -- Part 3: Internal metrics (2003).

This part describes the measures that can be used to create the requirements that describe the static properties of the interface, which can be evaluated by inspection without operating the software.

4. ISO/IEC 9126-4: Software Engineering -- Product quality -- Part 4: Quality in Use metrics (2003).

This part describes the measures that can be used to specify or evaluate the impact of the use of the software when operated by the user.

3.2   USABILITY IN ISO 9126

In 1991, ISO 9126 defined usability as "a set of attributes that bear on the effort needed for use and on the individual assessment of such use, by a stated or implied set of users" [2]. It then proposed a product-oriented usability approach. Usability was seen as an independent factor of software quality and it focused on software attributes, such as its interface, which make it easy to use.

However, the attributes that a product requires for usability depend on the nature of the user, the task and the environment. In a product-oriented approach, usability is seen as a relatively independent contribution to software quality, as defined now in the 2000 edition of ISO/IEC 9126-1: "The capability of the software product to be understood, learned and liked by the user, when used under specified conditions".

Usable products can be designed by incorporating product characteristics and attributes, which are beneficial to users in particular contexts of use. Users are interpreted directly as interactive system users. They can include operators, as well as direct or indirect users who are influenced by or depend on using the software.

Usability specification and evaluation should address several user environments, which the software can affect, including both use preparation and results evaluation. Usability is defined in this international standard as a specific set of software attributes; it is different, and defined from an ergonomic viewpoint with other characteristics such as output and effectiveness as usability components.

To specify software quality, a purchaser needs a model and analytical tools to communicate precisely his requirements concerning the product to be developed. Similarly, a software provider needs to be able to verify with confidence whether or not the product provides the expected level of software quality. This ISO 9126 standard can be used as a reference for contractual agreements between a purchaser and a software producer, and it can be used to eliminate a number of misunderstandings between purchaser and provider.

The principal advantage of a clearly defined and agreed upon model, supported with appropriate measures, is that it clarifies the definition of usability, and

proposes measures to provide objective evidence of achievement. It is to be noted that, during the development process, including testing, measurements are usually collected in simulated environments in a laboratory, where industrial context may be lost.

The ISO/IEC 9126 quality model can be used to specify and verify those properties that the software must exhibit before being put into service. Some countries, such as Japan, have adopted it as a national standard.

However, there are still some weaknesses in ISO 9126 which have not yet been fully tackled, such as:

- Unclear architecture at the detail level of the measures;
- Some overlapping of concepts, making the standard challenging for the user community to grasp clearly, such as the usability characteristics of internal and external quality with respect to the quality-in-use set of quality characteristics.
- Lack of a quality requirements standard;
- Lack of guidance in assessing the results of measurement;
- Ambiguous choice of measures.

As well, the current ISO 9126 detailed description of its quality model is static: it does not describe the relationship between project phases and measures at subsequent project milestones. It is important to be able to relate software measures to project tracking and to target values at the time of delivery of the software. Also, it does not give any guidance as to the use of the measures and attributes in the identification and classification of risk [3].

3.3   ISO 9241

ISO 9241 is a suite of international standards on ergonomics requirements for office work carried out using visual display terminals (Figure 3). The definitions of Part 11 of ISO 9241 are put together from a different usability viewpoint. Its key components are: effectiveness, which describes the interaction from a process viewpoint; efficiency, which pays attention to results and the resources involved; and satisfaction, which is a user viewpoint.

ISO 9241 provides requirements and recommendations concerning hardware, software and environment attributes, which contribute to usability, and concerning subjacent ergonomic principles. Parts 3 to 9 deal with hardware design requirements and guidelines, which can have implications for software. Parts 10 to 17 deal with software attributes.

```
                          ┌─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┐
                          │                        ISO 9241                          │
                          │ Ergonomics requirements for office with visual display   │
                          │                    terminals (VDTs)                      │
                          └─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┘
         ┌────────────────────┬─────────────────────┬──────────────────────┐
 ┌───────────────┐   ┌────────────────┐   ┌──────────────────┐   ┌──────────────────┐
 │    General    │   │    Material    │   │   Environment    │   │     Software     │
 │               │   │  Requirements  │   │                  │   │                  │
 └───────────────┘   └────────────────┘   └──────────────────┘   └──────────────────┘
 ┌───────────────┐   ┌────────────────┐   ┌──────────────────┐   ┌──────────────────┐
 │ 1. General    │   │ 3. Visual      │   │ 6. Environmental │   │ 10. Dialogue     │
 │ Introduction  │   │    display     │   │    requirements  │   │     principles   │
 │               │   │                │   │                  │   │                  │
 │ 2. Guidance   │   │ 4. Keyboard    │   └──────────────────┘   │ 11. Usability    │
 │ on task       │   │                │                          │     specifications│
 │ requirements  │   │ 5. Workstation │                          │     measures     │
 │               │   │ layout and     │                          │                  │
 └───────────────┘   │ postural       │                          │ 12. Information  │
                     │                │                          │     presentation │
                     │ 7. Display     │                          │                  │
                     │ with           │                          │ 13. User Guidance│
                     │ reflections    │                          │                  │
                     │                │                          │ 14. Menu dialogues│
                     │ 8. Displayed   │                          │                  │
                     │    colors      │                          │ 15. Command      │
                     │                │                          │     dialogues    │
                     │ 9. Non-keyboard│                          │                  │
                     │ input devices  │                          │ 16. Direct       │
                     └────────────────┘                          │     manipulation │
                                                                  │     dialogues    │
                                                                  │                  │
                                                                  │ 17. Form filling │
                                                                  │     dialogues    │
                                                                  └──────────────────┘
```

Figure 3: The 17 Parts of ISO 9241

3.4   USABILITY IN ISO 9241

Part 11 of this standard explains how to identify the information that has to be taken into account when specifying, or evaluating, usability in terms of measures of user performance and satisfaction. Guidance is given on how to describe the context of use of the product and the measures of usability in an explicit way [10]. In spite of the name, the definitions in part 11 are also known to be applicable to other situations where a user interacts with a product to achieve certain objectives. This extension makes usability a generic usability concept, likely applicable outside its conventional applications in information technology.

Standard ISO 9241 defines usability in the following way: *software is usable when it allows the user to execute his task effectively, efficiently and with satisfaction in the specified context of use*.

According to this standard, measurement of system usability consists of three usability attributes:

1. Effectiveness: How well do the users achieve their goals using the system?
2. Efficiency: What resources are consumed in order to achieve their goals?
3. Satisfaction: How do the users feel about their use of the system ? [5]

This standard presents usability guidelines and is used for evaluating usability according to the context of use of the software..

ISO 9241-11 recommends a process-oriented approach for usability, by which the usable interactive system is achieved through a human-centered design process.

Usable products can be designed by incorporating characteristics and attributes in particular contexts of use. This process alone is not sufficient to ensure efficiency, effectiveness and satisfaction when using the product. To verify whether or not the required level of usability is achieved, it is necessary to measure the performance and the satisfaction of users working with the product. The measurement of usability is a complex interaction between users and context of use; this might produce different levels of usability performance for the same product when it is used in different contexts.

Context characteristics (users, tasks and environment) can be sufficient to determine usability as a product characteristic: a change in any relevant aspect of context of use can change product usability. For example, a user interface can be improved by conformance to good practices of dialog design, by ensuring harmony between user and system, through selection and user training or through good task design. A product, which is usable by qualified users might not be usable by beginners. Work environment aspects, such as noise or office design, can also impact usability.

The approach adopted in Part 11 of ISO 9241 has the following advantages:

1. The ISO 9241-11 model identifies usability aspects and context-of-use components to be taken into consideration during specification, design and usability evaluation;
2. User performance and satisfaction provide direct measurements of usability in a particular context;
3. User performance and satisfaction measurements provide a basis for comparing usability with other design features for the same context;
4. Usability can be defined and verified within quality systems conforming to ISO 9001.

By contrast, this standard also has some weaknesses:

1. It addresses usability strictly from a process perspective, hence tackling only a single viewpoint;
2. ISO 9241-11 does not tackle the learnability characteristic as is recommended by the majority of standards and experts on usability;
3. It does not tackle the security aspects, considered to be very significant by domain experts.

In summary, it is not yet easy to exploit the 2002 normative models for usability evaluation, and users need a very clear demonstration of the application of these models to their software. Similarly, issues of usability at the architecture level are among the most difficult to rectify because changes at this level are inevitably relegated to the dialogue or to the presentation level.

4. A CONSOLIDATED MODEL

4.1 Usability model selected at the baseline

In this section, we present our suggestions for improving the current ISO usability models. The analysis of the ISO 9241 definitions indicates that this standard has a broader perspective of usability than ISO 9126: ISO 9241 focuses on tasks and environment questions as organizational factors, and its usability definition focuses on software quality characteristics which are distinct from those of usability in ISO 9126, such as functionality, precision and effectiveness. All these characteristics contribute to software quality.

The two viewpoints on usability are complementary: according to Bevan [10], the interactive system does not have intrinsic usability, only an ability to be used in a particular context of use. For example, 9241-11 can help us to understand in which context particular attributes specified in ISO 9126 are required.

We have therefore selected the basic ISO 9241-11 architecture as the baseline for our consolidated model, and our selection criteria for this decision are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: ISO 9241

➢ The only normative model that specifically addresses usability
➢ Adopted by experts in HCI (Human Computer Interaction). See, for example: Jordan et al, 1996
➢ Explains how to identify information for specifying or evaluating usability. Guidance is provided to describe product context of use (hardware, software or service) and required measurements for usability in an explicit manner
➢ Considered by experts to be the instrument best suited to interpreting the VDT? directive. Also, accepted by the EU commission as a vehicle to

demonstrate compliance with the directive. The standard is harmonized in Europe and published as a German DIN standard [6].
➢ Defines usability on the basis of 3 characteristics: Effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction.

4.2   Additional usability characteristics

Some researchers have proposed their own usability model, through additional definitions or attributes of this concept, often including the **learnability** characteristic for usability (Table 3). Figures 4 and 5 present the usability models of two groups of authors who used the same set of usability characteristics, but who differ as to the levels of the proposed measures to use for these characteristics: Dix et al. [4] and Nielsen et al. [9] (Preece [8] Usability model similar to [6], but with a smaller set of measures).

Table 3: Learnability as a usability characteristic

| |
|---|
| ➢ Nielsen and Schneiderman characterized five attributes of usability: **learnability**, effectiveness, tolerance for errors, satisfaction and memorization. |
| ➢ Boehm defined software usability as the extent to which the product is **convenient and practical to use**. |
| ➢ Capers Jones: Usability is the total effort required to **learn**, operate and use software or hardware. |
| ➢ Gilb identifies some measurable attributes of usability; one of them being **learnability**. |
| ➢ ISO/IEC 9126-1 defines usability as the capacity of the software product to be included/understood, **learned,** used and attractive to the user, when it is used under specified conditions. |
| ➢ IEEE Std. 610.12 defines usability as the ease with which a user can **learn** how to operate, prepare inputs for, and interpret the outputs of, a system or component. |
| ➢ The MUSiC project identifies **learnability** as the attribute of usability. |

Figure 4: Usability Model (Dix et al. [4])

Figure 5: Usability Model (Nielsen et al. [9])

Other researchers, as well as other standards organizations, have identified other viewpoints on usability, and have included another usability characteristic, referred to as the **security** characteristic (Table 4). Table 4 lists some of the standards where security is included within their usability model.

Table 4: Security as a Usability Characteristic

➢ ITSEC: Information Technology **Security** Evaluation Criteria.
➢ Standard IEC 300 presents software as **security-**critical.
➢ ISO 13407 (1999) describes human-centered design as a multidisciplinary activity incorporating human factors and ergonomic and technical knowledge with the objective of raising efficiency and effectiveness, improving human working conditions, and opposing possible unfavorable effects of use on human health, **security** and performance.
➢ ISO/IEC 9126 defines **security,** which is a sub-characteristic, as a set of software attributes which relates to its ability to prevent unauthorized access, whether accidental or deliberate, to programs and data.
1. **Security** is a characteristic of the CHI, which is particularly important in an industrial context [7].

These standards consider that good usability is a significant condition for human security in critical systems, such as medical apparati or nuclear power stations.

4.3   Enhanced usability model

A more comprehensive model of usability should include both process-related and product-related usability characteristics. Having already selected ISO 9241-11 as our baseline for an enhanced model, we must then integrate into this baseline the other relevant usability characteristics from both 9126 and other sources, such as learnability and security.

This enhanced model, referred to as the Consolidated Usability Model, is presented in Figure 6.

Figure 6: Consolidated Usability Model

The three-layer structure of ISO 9126 (characteristics, sub-characteristics and measures) was then used to complete this enhanced Consolidated Model of Usability. In particular, relevant candidate measures proposed by authors have been analyzed, and a recommended set chosen. The resulting usability model, with specific measurement proposals, is presented in Figure 7.

5. CONCLUSION

Usability is an important factor for determining software quality. This study has investigated various models for software usability, in particular the ISO models. The fact that these distinct ISO standards dealing with software usability were not designed from the same perspective was highlighted. Similarly, it was noted that definitions given by experts and researchers have not yet been harmonized. For instance, usability model ISO 9241-11 was developed by a group of experts specializing in Human-Computer Interaction, whereas the ISO 9126 model was developed by another group of experts specializing in software engineering. This illustrates that the models of usability that are currently being proposed to the industry are not yet mature and that further work is required to develop a greater consensus on an improved and more comprehensive model.

On the basis of the analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of both standards, as well as an investigation of other models proposed by various authors, a revised structure for a usability model was proposed, including the addition of two characteristics to the ISO 9241-11 standard which had been selected as our baseline: "learnability" and "security".

Then, specific measures for these characteristics were presented using the structured hierarchy of ISO 9126.

USABILITY

Effectiveness	Efficiency (13)	Satisfaction (13)	Security (12)	Learnability (12)
Percentage of tasks accomplished (4,9) Ratio on failure of handling (4) Percentage of tasks achieved per unit of time (4)	Repetitions' number of failed commands (4,9) Documentation or help's use frequency (4,9) Errors' percentage (4,9) Time spent on error (4,9) Time to achieve one task (4,9) Number of good and bad characteristics recalled by users (4) Number of available commands not called upon (4)	Percentage of users' favorable and unfavorable comments (4) Number of times that user expresses his frustration (4,9) Rating scale for users' satisfaction with functions and characteristics (4,9)	Access audibility (12) Access controllability (12) Data corruption prevention (12) Data encryption (12)	Time to learn (4,9)

Figure 7: Consolidated and Normative Usability

REFERENCES

1. Gore, Al. 1998. A letter from Vice President Al Gore, Common Ground, July, Vol. 8, no 3, p. 1.

2. Bevan Nigel, http://www.cst.ku.dk/projects/eagles2/workshop/ISOnigel.html, ISO 9126.

3. L. Hyatt, L. Rosenberg, A Software Quality Model and Metrics for Identifying Project Risks and Assessing Software Quality

4. Dix, A., Finlay, J., Abowd, G., and Beale, R. 1993. Human-Computer Interaction, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.

5. Wixon, D. and Wilson, C. 1997. The Usability Engineering Framework for Product Design and Evaluation, in Handbook of Human-Computer Interaction, M.Helander (Ed.), Amsterdam, p.665.

6. KAMBRIEF, http://www.kan.de/content/englishcontent/publications-kanbrief/brief983.htm, Kommission Arbeitsschutz und Normung

7. Communication Homme-Machine, http://dept-info.labri.u-bordeaux.fr/Specif/ RECHERCHE/NIVAT/chm.html, CHM

8. Preece, J. 1994. Human-Computer Interaction, Addison-Wesle

9. Nielsen, J. 1994. Usability Engineering. Boston: Academic Press.

10. Bevan N., Schoeffel R. A proposed standard for consumer product usability. UAHCI, New Orleans, August 2001.

11. ISO/IEC 9126 Software Product Evaluation - Quality Characteristics and Guidelines for the User.

12. ISO 9241 Ergonomics requirements for office with visual display terminals (VDTs)

13. Bevan Nigel, http://www.usability.serco.com/trump/documents/ Usability_standards.ppt.pdf